

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION

TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 23: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION

OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Bold indicates mandatory indicators.

| # | Output Measure | Definition | Data Grantee Reports | Record Data Here |
|----|---|---|--|------------------|
| 1 | FG funds awarded for P & A | The amount of Formula Grants funds in whole dollars that are awarded for planning and administration during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source. | A. FG funds awarded to program for services | |
| 2 | Number of MOUs developed | The number of Memoranda of Understanding or interagency agreements developed during reporting period of the program. Include all formal partnership or coordination agreements. Program records are the preferred data source. | A. Number of Memoranda of Understanding in effect | |
| 3 | Number of FTEs funded with FG \$ | The number of program staff, as measured through the number of Full-Time Equivalents, working for the program during the reporting period. To calculate FTE, divide the number of staff hours used by the program by 2080. | A. Number of Full-Time Equivalents | |
| 4 | Number of SAG committee and subcommittee meetings staffed | The number of SAG committee and subcommittee meetings staffed during the reporting period. | A. Number of SAG meetings staffed | |
| 5 | Number of planning activities conducted | The number of planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. Planning activities include meetings held, needs assessments undertaken. | A. Number of planning activities conducted | |
| 6 | Number of program/agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded | The number of program/agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of the program or agency. Include policies that are either relevant to the topic area of the program or policies that affect program operations. | A. Number of policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded | |
| 7 | Number of subgrants awarded | The number of subgrants awarded during the reporting period. | A. Number of subgrants | |
| 8 | Number of subgrantee technical assistance (TA) events (e.g., bidders conferences) | The number of TA events held during the reporting period (e.g., bidders conferences) that provided funding and other information to subgrantees or prospective subgrantees. | A. Number of TA events held | |
| 9 | Number of RFPs developed that support programming identified in the Plan | The number and percent of Requests for Proposals (RFPs) developed that support the juvenile justice programming identified in the State Plan. | A. Number of RFPs developed | |
| 10 | Number and percent of programs monitored | The number and percent of programs that were monitored by the State with a site visit to assess program implementation and compliance. | A. The number of programs monitored B. The number of programs C. Percent (A/B) | |

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION

TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 23: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION

OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Bold indicates mandatory indicators.

| # | Output Measure | Definition | Data Grantee Reports | Record Data Here |
|----|--|---|--|------------------|
| 11 | Number and percent of programs using evidence-based models strategies | The number and percent of programs funded by the SAG using an evidence-based model. Evidence-based models include programs that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints, OJJDP's Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA's Model Programs, etc.). | A. The number of programs funded using an evidence based model B. The number of programs funded C. Percent (A/B) | |

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION

TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 23: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Bold indicates mandatory indicators.

| # | Outcome Measure | Definition | Data Grantee Reports | Record Data Here |
|----------|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| 12 | Average time from receipt of subgrant application to date of award | The average time (in days) from receipt of subgrant grant application to date of award during the reporting period. | A. Total number of days from receipt of application to award date B. Number of awards made C. Average (A/B) | |
| 13 | Number and percent of programs funded directly in line with the 3-year Plan | Number and percent of programs funded in the reporting period that directly support the 3-year Plan. | A. Number of funded programs that support the 3-year Plan B. Number of programs funded C. Percent (A/B) | |
| 14 | Number and percent of FG programs evaluated | Number and percent of programs funded in the reporting period that were subjected to an outcome evaluation. | A. Number of funded programs that were evaluated B. Number of funded programs C. Percent (A/B) | |
| 15 | Percent change in technically acceptable proposals received | Number and percent of proposals that are received that are rated as technically acceptable during proposal review process. Technically acceptable proposals are defined as those that are deemed worthy of funding. | A. Number of proposals deemed technically acceptable during the current funding period B. Number of proposals deemed technically acceptable during the prior funding period C. Percent Change (A-B/B) | |
| 16 | Number of FG-funded programs sustained after 3 years | Number of FG funded programs sustained through other funds at the end of the 3 -year grant funding cycle. | A. Number of FG funded programs sustained | |